```
Joshua N. Rose (DCB 420606)* Pro hac vice application pending
     Josh@Roselawvers.com
     David L. Rose (DCB 376379)
    Daver@Roselawyers.com
     Earlene W. Rosenberg (DCB 974273) * Pro hac vice application pending
     Erosenberg@Roselawyers.com
  |4|
     ROSE & ROSE, P.C.
     1320 19<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 601
     Washington, D.C. 20036
  51
    Telephone: (202) 331-8555
Facsimile: (202) 331-0996
    Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 8
  9
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1.0
                      NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
    FRED HULAC, KATHY FINGARSON )
    RAUL ARMENDARIZ, GLENDORA
                                    CASE NO. 08-01557 JSW
    SPINKS, WILLIAM STEWART
    and all those similarly
14
    situated
                                    PLAINTIFFS UNOPPOSED REPLY TO
15
                                    DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF PENDENCY
         vs.
                                    OF OTHER ACTION OR PROCEEDING
16
                                    PROCEEDING
17
    FEDERAL EXPRESS
18
    CORPORATION
19
                  Defendant.
20
21
     PLAINTIFFS UNOPPOSED REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF
22
                         OTHER ACTION OR PROCEEDING
23
         Plaintiffs file this unopposed reply to Defendant's Notice
24
    of Pendency of Another Action or Proceeding, in conjunction with
25
    our memorandum in opposition to Defendant's Motion to transfer
26
    venue.
27
28
```

PLAINTIFFS REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTION OR PROCEEDING

Not one of the Plaintiffs in this suit is a party in Clausnitzer, et al v. FedEx. 05-cv-1269 (ANx) C.D.Cal. L.R. 3-13. This case does not involve "all or a material part of the same subject matter" and does not involve "all or substantially all of the same parties as another action which is pending in any other federal or state court." L.R. 3-13(a). Therefore, Plaintiffs in this suit do not have a related matter pending in Clausnitzer, et al v. FedEx, 05-cv-1269 before the Central District or in any other Court.

For a more detailed response see Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant's motion to transfer this suit to the Central District of California.

/s/ David L. Rose

Earlene W. Rosenberg Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS

David L. Rose Joshua N. Rose

By:

DATED: June 11, 2008

ROSE & ROSE, P.C.

Certificate of Service

I Earlene W. Rosenberg, hereby certify that Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Notice of Pendency of Other Action or Proceeding was served electronically on June 11, 2008.

/s/Earlene W. Rosenberg

Earlene W. Rosenberg